REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action, and amended as necessary to more clearly and particularly describe the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

The specification was objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) as introducing new matter into the disclosure. In making the rejection, the Examiner states "Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action." However, the Examiner indicates in the "Response to Arguments" set forth in the Office Action, that the "newly added drawing Figure 9 and the amendments to the Specification on page 11, line 24 have not been entered..." These two statements appear to be in conflict. However, for the purpose of fully responding to the objection, Applicant has treated the previous amendments as having been fully entered. Thus, the passages referenced by the Examiner, namely page 6, line 24 and page 11, line 24 have been deleted by amendment herein. By deleting the above-mentioned subject matter, Applicant does not necessarily acquiesce to the correctness of Examiner's assertions regarding new matter.

Claims 1–3 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claim 1 has been amended to remove the limitation specifying that the wireless signal transmission module is "releasably mounted" to another one of the modules. Claim 2 has been canceled. Applicant respectfully submits that each of the limitations of the claims was sufficiently disclosed in the specification *as filed* for purposes of 35 U.S.C. 112. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Appln. No. 10/766,162 Amendment dated October 19, 2006 Reply to Office Action dated June 19, 2006

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. 31856US3.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

Bv.

Aaron A. Fishman – Reg. No. 44,682

1801 East 9th Street Suite 1200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: October 19, 2006